arXiv:1503.06750v3 [math.FA] 6 Apr 2015

C^* algebra and inverse chaos

Luo Lvlin* and Hou Bingzhe†

January 24, 2015

Abstract: If an invertible linear dynamical systems is Li-York chaotic or other chaotic, what's about it's inverse dynamics? what's about it's adjoint dynamics? With this unresolved but basic problems, this paper will give a criterion for Lebesgue operator on separable Hilbert space. Also we give a criterion for the adjoint multiplier of Cowen-Douglas functions on 2-th Hardy space. Last we give some chaos about scalars perturbation of operator and some examples of invertible bounded linear operator such that T is chaotic but T^{-1} is not.

Keywords: inverse, chaos, Hardy space, rooter function, Cowen-Douglas function, Spectrum, C^* algebra, Lebesgue operator.

1.Introduction

---

*E-mail:luoll12@mails.jlu.edu.cn and Adrress:Department of Mathematics,Jilin University, ChangChun,130012,P. R. China.

†E-mail:houbz@jlu.edu.cn and Adrress:Department of Mathematics,Jilin University, ChangChun,130012,P. R. China.

The ideas of chaos in connection with a map was introduced by Li T.Y.and his teacher Yorke,J.A.[22], after that there are various definitions of what it means for a map to be chaotic and there is a series of papers on Topological Dynamics and Ergodic Theory about chaos, such as [27][11][17][13][23].

Following Topological Dynamics,Linear Dynamics is also a rapidly evolving branch of functional analysis,which was probably born in 1982 with the Toronto Ph.D.thesis of C.Kitai [3]. It has become rather popular because of the efforts of many mathematicians, for the seminal paper [6] by G.Godefroy and J.H.Shapiro,the notes [12] by J.H.Shapiro,the authoritative survey [20] by K.-G.Grosse-Erdmann,,and finally for the book [4] by F.Bayart and E.Matheron,the book [19] by K.-G.Grosse-Erdmann and A.Peris.

For finite-dimension linear space,the authors have made a topologically conjugate classification about Jordan blocks in [18][24]. So for the eigenvalues $|\lambda| \neq 1$, the operators of Jordan block are not Li-Yorke chaotic.With [4] we know that a Jordan block is not supercyclic when its eigenvalues $|\lambda| = 1$, and following a easy discussion it is not Li-Yorke chaotic too.

So the definition of Li-Yorke chaos should be valid only on infinite-dimension Frechet space or Banach space such that in this paper the Hilbert space is infinite-dimensional. Because a finite-dimensional linear operator could be regard as a compact operator on some Banach spaces or some Hilbert spaces, we can get the same conclusion from [25]P12 or the Theorem 7 of [10].

For a Frechet space X,let $\mathcal{L}(X)$ denote the set of all bounded linear operators on X. Let $\mathbb{B}$ denote a Banach space and let $\mathbb{H}$ denote a Hilbert space. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$, then define $\sigma(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}; T - \lambda \text{ is not invertible}\}$ and define $r_\sigma(T) = \sup\{|\lambda|; \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$.

Definition 1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$,if there exists $x \in \mathbb{B}$ satisfies:*

(1) $\overline{\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}} |T^n(x)\| > 0$*; and (2)* $\underline{\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}} \|T^n(x)\| = 0$.

Then we say that T is Li-Yorke chaotic,and named x is a Li-Yorke chaotic point of T,where $x \in \mathbb{B}, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Define a distributional function $F_x^n(\tau) = \frac{1}{n}\sharp\{0 \leq i \leq n : \|T^n(x)\| < \tau\}$, where $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B}), x \in \mathbb{B}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. And define

$F_x(\tau) = \liminf\limits_{n\to\infty} F_x^n(\tau)$; and $F_x^*(\tau) = \limsup\limits_{n\to\infty} F_x^n(\tau)$.

Definition 2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{B})$,if there exists $x \in \mathbb{B}$ and*

(1) *If $F_x(\tau) = 0, \exists\tau > 0$, and $F_x^*(\epsilon) = 1, \forall\epsilon > 0$, then we say that T is distributional chaotic or I-distributionally chaotic .*

(2) *If $F_x^*(\epsilon) > F_x(\tau), \forall\tau > 0$, and $F_x^*(\epsilon) = 1, \forall\epsilon > 0$, then we say that T is II-distributionally chaotic.*

(3) *If $F_x^*(\epsilon) > F_x(\tau), \forall\tau > 0$,then we say that T is III-distributionally chaotic.*

Definition 3 ([25]). *Let X is an arbitrary infinite-dimensional separable Frechet space, $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$,If there exists a subset X_0 of X satisfies:*

(1) *For any $x \in X_0, \{T^n x\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence converging to 0;*

(2) *There is a bounded sequence* $\{a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *in* $\overline{span(X_0)}$ *such that the sequence* $\{T^n a_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ *is unbounded.*

Then we say T *satisfies the Li-Yorke Chaos Criterion.*

Theorem 1 ([25])**.** *Let* X *is an arbitrary infinite-dimensional separable Frechet space, If* $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$*,then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(*i*) T *is Li-Yorke chaotic;*

(*ii*) T *satisfies the Li-Yorke Chaos Criterion.*

Lemma 1 ([29])**.** *There are no hypercyclic operators on a finite-dimensional space* $X \neq 0$*.*

Example 1 ([4]P8)**.** *Let* $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ *and let* $M_{\phi} : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ *be the associated multiplication operator. The adjoint multiplier* M_{ϕ}^{*} *is hypercyclic if and only if* ϕ *is non-constant and* $\phi(\mathbb{D}) \bigcap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$*.*

Theorem 2 ([5])**.** *Let* X *is an arbitrary separable Frechet space,* $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$*.The following assertions are equivalent.*

(*i*) T *is hypercyclic.*

(*ii*) T *is topologically transitive; that is,for each pair of non-empty open sets* $U, V \subseteq X$*, there exists* $n \in \mathbb{N}$ *such that* $T^n(U) \bigcap V \neq \emptyset$*.*

Theorem 3 ([4])**.** *Let* X *is a topological vector space,*T *is a bounded linear* *operator on X.Let*

$$\begin{cases} \Lambda_1(T) \triangleq span(\bigcup_{|\lambda|=1, n\in\mathbb{N}} \ker(T-\lambda)^N \bigcap ran(T-\lambda)^N); \\ \Lambda^+(T) \triangleq span(\Lambda_1(T) \bigcup \bigcup_{|\lambda|>1, n\in\mathbb{N}} \ker(T-\lambda)^N); \\ \Lambda^-(T) \triangleq span(\Lambda_1(T) \bigcup \bigcup_{|\lambda|<1, n\in\mathbb{N}} \ker(T-\lambda)^N). \end{cases}$$

If $\Lambda^+(T)$ and $\Lambda^-(T)$ are both dense in X,then T is mixing.

2.From Polar Decomposition to functional calculus

The Polar Decomposition Theorem [15]P15 on Hilbert space is a useful theorem, especially for invertible bounded linear operator. We give some properties of C^* algebra generated by normal operator.

Let $\mathbb{H}$ be a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$ and let X be a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{C}(X)$ denote the linear space of all continuous functions on the compact space X, let $\mathcal{P}(x)$ denote the set of all polynomials on X and let T be an invertible bounded linear operator on $\mathbb{H}$. By the Polar Decomposition Theorem [15]P15 we get $T = U|T|$, where U is an unitary operator and $|T|^2 = T^*T$. Let $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$ denote the C^* algebra generated by the positive operator $|T|$ and 1.

Lemma 2. *Let $0 \notin X$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. If $\mathcal{P}(x)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$, then $\mathcal{P}(\frac{1}{x})$ is also dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$.*

Proof. By the property of polynomials we know that $\mathcal{P}(\frac{1}{x})$ is a algebraic closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(X)$ and we get:

(1) $1 \in \mathcal{P}(\frac{1}{x})$;

(2) $\mathcal{P}(\frac{1}{x})$ separate the points of X;

(3) If $p(\frac{1}{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(x)$,then $\bar{p}(\frac{1}{x}) \in \mathcal{P}(x)$.

By the Stone-Weierstrass Theorem [14]P145 we get the conclusion. ☐

Lemma 3. *Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$. If $\mathcal{P}(|x|)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$, then $\mathcal{P}(|x|^2)$ is also dense in $\mathcal{C}(X)$.*

Proof. For $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$, $x \neq y \Longleftrightarrow x^2 \neq y^2$. By Lemma 2 we get the conclusion. ☐

By the GNS construction [14]P250 for the C^* algebra $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$, we get the following decomposition.

Lemma 4. *Let T be an invertible bounded linear operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$ is the complex C^* algebra generated by $|T|$ and 1. There is a sequence of nonzero $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-invariant subspace. $\mathbb{H}_1, \mathbb{H}_2, \cdots$ such that:*

(1) $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_1 \bigoplus \mathbb{H}_2 \bigoplus \cdots$;

(2) *For every $\mathbb{H}_i$, there is a $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic vector ξ^i such that $\mathbb{H}_i = \overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi^i}$ and $|T|\mathbb{H}_i = \mathbb{H}_i = |T|^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i$.*

Proof. By [30]P54 we get (1),and $|T|\mathbb{H}_i \subseteq \mathbb{H}_i$,that is $\mathbb{H}_i \subseteq |T|^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i$; by Lemma 2 we get $|T|^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i \subseteq \mathbb{H}_i$. Hence we get $|T|\mathbb{H}_i = \mathbb{H}_i = |T|^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i$. ☐

For $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$,T^n is invertible when T is invertible. By the Polar Decomposition Theorem [15]P15 $T^n = U_n|T^n|$, where U_n is unitary operator and $|T^n|^2 = T^{*n}T^n$, we get the following conclusion.

Lemma 5. *Let T be an invertible bounded linear operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{A}(|T^k|)$ be the complex C^* algebra generated by $|T^k|$ and 1 and let $\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = \overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^k|)\xi_k^i}$ be a sequence of non-zero $\mathcal{A}(|T^k|)$-invariant subspace, there is a decomposition $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$, $\xi_k^i \in \mathbb{H}, i, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Given a proper permutation of $\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$ and $\mathbb{H}_j^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$, we get $T^*\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$ and $T^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3,it is enough to prove the conclusion on $\overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^k|^2)\xi_k^i}$. For any given $\xi_k^i \in \mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_j \mathbb{H}_j^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$, there is a unique $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\xi_k^i \in \mathbb{H}_j^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$.

(1) Because T is invertible, for any given ξ_k^i, there is an unique $\eta_i \in \mathbb{H}_s^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$ such that $\eta_i = T^{-1}\xi_k^i$. For $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}(|x|^2)$, we get $p(|T^{(k+1)}|^2)\eta_i = T^*p(|T^k|^2)\xi_k^i$. Hence we get

$$\mathbb{H}_s^{|T^{(k+1)}|} = \overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^{(k+1)}|^2)\xi_{k+1}^s} \supseteq T^*\overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^k|^2)\xi_k^i} = T^*\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}.$$

(2) Similarly,for any given ξ_{k+1}^s, there is an unique $\eta_r \in \mathbb{H}_r^{|T^k|}$ such that $\eta_r = T\xi_{k+1}^s$. For $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}(|x|^2)$,we get

$$p(|T^k|^2)\eta_r = p(|T^k|^2)T\xi_{k+1}^s = T^{*-1}p(|T^{(k+1)}|^2)\xi_{k+1}^s.$$

Hence we get

$$\mathbb{H}_r^{|T^k|} = \overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^k|^2)\xi_k^r} \supseteq T^{*-1}\overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^{(k+1)}|^2)\xi_{k+1}^s} = T^{*-1}\mathbb{H}_s^{|T^{(k+1)}|}.$$

Let $i = r$,by (1)(2) we get $T^{*-1}\mathbb{H}_s^{|T^{(k+1)}|} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} \subseteq T^{*-1}\mathbb{H}_j^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$.

Fixed the order of $\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$, by a proper permutation of $\mathbb{H}_j^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$ we get $T^*\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$.

By Lemma 2 and T is invertible,we get

(3) For any given ξ_k^i, there is an unique $\eta_i \in \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$ such that $\eta_i = T^*\xi_k^i$. For $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}(|x|^{-2})$, we get $p(|T^{(k+1)}|^{-2})\eta_i = T^{-1}p(|T^k|^{-2})\xi_k^i$. Hence we get

$$\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|} = \overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^{(k+1)}|^{-2})\xi_{k+1}^i} \supseteq T^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^k|^{-2})\xi_k^i} = T^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}.$$

(4) For any given ξ_{k+1}^i,there is an unique $\eta_i \in \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$ such that $\eta_i = T^{*-1}\xi_{k+1}^i$. For $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}(|x|^{-2})$,we get

$$T^{-1}p(|T^k|^{-2})\eta_i = T^{-1}p(|T^k|^{-2})T^{*-1}\xi_{k+1}^i = p(|T^{(k+1)}|^{-2})\xi_{k+1}^i.$$

Hence we get

$$T^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = T^{-1}\overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^k|^{-2})\xi_k^i} \supseteq \overline{\mathcal{P}(|T^{(k+1)}|^{-2})\xi_{k+1}^i} = \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|}.$$

By (3)(4) we get $T^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|} \subseteq T^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$.

that is,$T^{-1}\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^{(k+1)}|}$. □

Let $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ is a $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic vector such that $\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi$ is dense in $\mathbb{H}$. Because of $\sigma|T| \neq \emptyset$, on $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T|))$ define the non-zero linear functional $\rho_{|T|}$:$\rho_{|T|}(f) =< f(|T|)\xi, \xi >, \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T|))$. Then $\rho_{|T|}$ is a positive linear functional, by [30]P54 and the Riesz-Markov Theorem, on $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T|))$ we get that there exists an unique finite positive Borel measure $\mu_{|T|}$ such that

$$\int\limits_{\sigma(|T|)} f(z)\, d\mu_{|T|}(z) =< f(|T|)\xi, \xi >, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T|)).$$

Theorem 4. *Let T be an invertible bounded linear operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, there is $\xi \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi} = \mathbb{H}$. For any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)$ be the complex C^* algebra generated by $|T^n|$ and 1 and let ξ_n be a $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)$-cyclic vector such that $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)\xi_n} = \mathbb{H}$. Then:*

(1) *For any given ξ_n,there is an unique positive linear functional*

$$\int\limits_{\sigma(|T^n|)} f(z)\, d\mu_{|T^n|}(z) =< f(|T^n|)\xi_n, \xi_n >, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|)).$$

(2) *For any given ξ_n,there is an unique finite positive complete Borel measure $\mu_{|T^n|}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$.*

Proof. Because T is invertible, by Lemma 5 we get that if there is a $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic vector ξ, then there is a $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)$-cyclic vector ξ_n.

(1):For any given ξ_n, define the linear functional, $\rho_{|T^n|}(f) =< f(|T^n|)\xi_n, \xi_n >$, by [30]P54 and the Riesz-Markov Theorem we get that on $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|))$ there is an unique finite positive Borel measure $\mu_{|T^n|}$ such that

$$\int\limits_{\sigma(|T^n|)} f(z)\, d\mu_{|T^n|}(z) =< f(|T^n|)\xi_n, \xi_n >, \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|)).$$

Moreover we can complete the Borel measure $\mu_{|T^n|}$ on $\sigma(|T^n|)$, also using $\mu_{|T^n|}$ to denote the complete Borel measure, By [26] we know that the complete Borel measure is uniquely.

For $\forall f \in \mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$,because of

$$\rho_{|T^n|}(|f|^2) = \rho_{|T^n|}(\bar{f}f) =< f(|T^n|)^* f(|T^n|)\xi_n, \xi_n >= \|f(|T^n|)\xi_n\| \geq 0.$$

we get that $\rho_{|T^n|}$ is a positive linear functional,hence (1) is right.

(2) we know that $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|))$ is a subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$ such that $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|))$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$.

For any $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|))$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} &< f(|T^n|)\xi_n, g(|T^n|)\xi_n >_{\mathbb{H}} =< g(|T^n|)^* f(|T^n|)\xi_n, \xi_n > \\ &= \rho_{|T^n|}(\bar{g}f) = \int\limits_{\sigma(|T^n|)} f(z)\bar{g}(z)\, d\mu_{|T^n|}(z) =< f, g >_{\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))} . \end{aligned}$$

Therefor $U_0 : \mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|)) \to \mathbb{H}, f(z) \to f(|T^n|)\xi_n$ is a surjection isometry from $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|))$ to $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)\xi_n$, also $\mathcal{C}(\sigma(|T^n|))$ and $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)\xi_n$ is a dense subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$ and $\mathbb{H}$,respectively. Because of U_0 a closable operator,it closed extension $U : \mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|)) \to \mathbb{H}, f(z) \to f(|T^n|)\xi_n$ is a unitary operator. Hence for any given ξ_n, U is the unique unitary operator induced by the unique finite positive complete Borel measure $\mu_{|T^n|}$ such that $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}$. $\square$

By the Polar Decomposition Theorem [15]P15, we get $U^*T^*TU = TT^*$ and $U^*|T|^{-2}U = |T^{-2}|$ when $T = U|T|$. In fact,when T is invertible, we can choose an specially unitary operator such that $|T|^{-1}$ and $|T^{-1}|$ are unitary equivalent. We give the following unitary equivalent by Theorem 4.

Theorem 5. *Let T be an invertible bounded linear operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$ be the complex algebra generated by*

$|T|$ and 1. There is $\sigma(|T|^{-1}) = \sigma(|T^{-1}|)$ and we get that $|T|^{-1}$ and $|T^{-1}|$ are unitary equivalent by the unitary operator $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$, more over the unitary operator $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$ is induced by an almost everywhere non-zero function $\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}|}$,where $\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}|} \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\sigma(|T|), \mu_{|T|})$. That is,$d\,\mu_{|T^{-1}|} = |\phi_{|T|}| d\,\mu_{|T|^{-1}}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4,lose no generally,let $\xi_{|T|}$ is a $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic vector such that $\mathbb{H} = \overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi_{|T|}}$.

(1) Define the function $F_{x^{-1}} : \mathcal{P}(x) \to \mathcal{P}(x^{-1}), F_{x^{-1}}(f(x)) = f(x^{-1})$, it is easy to find that $F_{x^{-1}}$ is linear.Because of

$$\int\limits_{\sigma(|T|)} f(z^{-1}) d\mu_{|T|}(z) =< f(|T|^{-1})\xi, \xi > = \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|^{-1})} f(z) d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(z).$$

We get $d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(z) = |z|^2 d\mu_{|T|}(z)$.Hence

$$\|F_{x^{-1}}(f(x))\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|^{-1}),\mu_{|T|^{-1}})}$$

$$= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|^{-1})} F_{x^{-1}}(f(x))\bar{F}_{x^{-1}}(f(x)) d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(x)$$

$$= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|^{-1})} f(x^{-1})\bar{f}(x^{-1}) d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(x)$$

$$= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|)} |z|^2 f(z)\bar{f}(z) d\mu_{|T|}(z)$$

$$\leq \sup \sigma(|T|)^2 \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|)} f(z)\bar{f}(z) d\mu_{|T|}(x)$$

$$\leq \sup \sigma(|T|)^2 \|f(z)\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|),\mu_{|T|})}.$$

So we get $\|F_{x^{-1}}\| \leq \sup \sigma(|T|)^2$, by the Banach Inverse Mapping Theorem [14]P91 we get that $F_{x^{-1}}$ is an invertible bounded linear operator from

$\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|),\mu_{|T|})$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|^{-1}),\mu_{|T|^{-1}})$.

Define the operator $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{x^{-1}}:\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi\to\mathcal{A}(|T|^{-1})\xi, F(f(|T|)\xi)=f(|T|^{-1})\xi$.

By Lemma 2 and [30]P55, we get that $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{x^{-1}}$ is a bounded linear operator on the Hilbert space $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi}=\mathbb{H}$, $\|F^{\mathbb{H}}_{x^{-1}}\|\leq\sup\sigma(|T|)^2$.Moreover we get

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{H} \arrow[r, "|T|"] \arrow[d, "F^{\mathbb{H}}_{x^{-1}}"'] & \mathbb{H} \arrow[d, "F^{\mathbb{H}}_{x^{-1}}"] \\
\mathbb{H} \arrow[r, "|T|^{-1}"'] & \mathbb{H}
\end{tikzcd}$$

(2) Define the function $F_{xx^*}:\mathcal{P}(xx^*)\to\mathcal{P}(x^*x), F_{xx^*}(f(x,x^*))=f(x^*x)$. By [8] we get that F_{xx^*} is a linear algebraic isomorphic.

For any $x\in\sigma(|T^{-1}|)$, by Lemma 3 we get that $\mathcal{P}(|x|^2)$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}(|x|)$, $\mathcal{C}(|x|)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^{-1}|),\mu_{|T^{-1}|})$. Hence $\mathcal{P}(|x|^2)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^{-1}|),\mu_{|T^{-1}|})$.

With a similarly discussion, for any $y\in\sigma(|T|^{-1})$,we get that $\mathcal{P}(|y|^2)$ is dense in $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|^{-1}),\mu_{|T|^{-1}})$.

So F_{xx^*} is an invertible bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|^{-1}),\mu_{|T|^{-1}})$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^{-1}|),\mu_{|T^{-1}|})$. Therefor $F_{xx^*}\circ F_{x^{-1}}$ is an invertible bounded linear operator from $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|),\mu_{|T|})$ to $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^{-1}|),\mu_{|T^{-1}|})$.

Because of $\lambda\in\sigma(T^*T)\Longleftrightarrow\frac{1}{\lambda}\in\sigma(T^{*-1}T^{-1})$,

we get that $\lambda\in\sigma(|T|)\Longleftrightarrow\frac{1}{\lambda}\in\sigma(|T^{-1}|)$, that is,$\sigma(|T^{-1}|)=\sigma(|T|^{-1})$.

For any $p_n\in\mathcal{P}(\sigma(|T|^{-1}))\subseteq\mathcal{A}(\sigma(|T|^{-1}))$, because of $T^{*-1}p_n(|T|^{-1})=$

$p_n(|T^{-1}|)T^{*-1}$, by [15]P60 we get that $\mathcal{P}(|T|^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{P}(|T^{-1}|)$ are unitary equivalent. Hence there is an unitary operator $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $U\mathcal{P}(|T|^{-1}) = \mathcal{P}(|T^{-1}|)U$, that is,$U\mathcal{A}(|T|^{-1}) = \mathcal{A}(|T^{-1}|)U$ and $U\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|^{-1})}\xi_{|T|} = \overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^{-1}|)}U\xi_{|T|}$. If let $\xi_{|T^{-1}|} = U\xi_{|T|}$,then $\xi_{|T^{-1}|}$ is a $\mathcal{A}(|T^{-1}|)$-cyclic vector and $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^{-1}|)}\xi_{|T^{-1}|} = \mathbb{H}$.Because of

$$\int\limits_{\sigma(|T|^{-1})} f(z)d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(z) =< f(|T|^{-1})\xi_{|T|}, \xi_{|T|} > = \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|)} f(\tfrac{1}{z})d\mu_{|T|}(z).$$

$$\int\limits_{\sigma(|T^{-1}|)} f(z)d\mu_{|T^{-1}|}(z) =< f(|T^{-1}|)\xi_{|T^{-1}|}, \xi_{|T^{-1}|} >.$$

We get $[d\mu_{|T^{-1}|}] = [d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}]$,that is, $d\mu_{|T^{-1}|}$ and $d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}$ are mutually absolutely continuous, by [14]IX.3.6Theorem and (1) we get that $d\mu_{|T^{-1}|} = |\phi_{|T|}(\frac{1}{z})|d\mu_{|T|^{-1}} = |z|^2|\phi_{|T|}(z)|d\mu_{|T|}$, where $|\phi_{|T|}(z)| \neq 0, a.e.$ and $|\phi_{|T|}(z)| \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\sigma(|T|), \mu_{|T|})$. So we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|F_{xx^*} \circ F_{x^{-1}}(f(x))\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^{-1}|),\mu_{|T^{-1}|})}\\
&= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T^{-1}|)} F_{xx^*} \circ F_{x^{-1}}(f(x))\overline{F_{xx^*} \circ F_{x^{-1}}}(f(x))d\mu_{|T^{-1}|}(x)\\
&= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T^{-1}|)} f(x^{-1})\bar{f}(x^{-1})d\mu_{|T^{-1}|}(x)\\
&= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|^{-1})} |\phi_{|T|}(\tfrac{1}{x})|f(x^{-1})\bar{f}(x^{-1})d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(x)\\
&= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T|^{-1})} F_{x^{-1}}(\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}(x)|}f(x))F_{x^{-1}}(\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}(x)|}\bar{f}(x))d\mu_{|T|^{-1}}(x)\\
&= \|\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}(\tfrac{1}{x})|}F_{x^{-1}}(f(x))\|_{\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T|^{-1}),\mu_{|T|^{-1}})}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence F_{xx^*} is an unitary operator that is induced by $Uf(\frac{1}{x}) = \sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}(\frac{1}{x})|}f(\frac{1}{x})$.

Define $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$: $\begin{cases} \mathcal{A}(|T|^{-2})\xi_{|T|} \to \mathcal{A}(|T^{-2}|)\xi_{|T^{-1}|}, \\ F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}(f(|T|^{-2})\xi_{|T|}) = f(|T^{-2}|)\xi_{|T^{-1}|}. \end{cases}$

Therefor $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$ is an unitary operator from $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|^{-1})\xi_{|T|}}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^{-1}|)\xi_{|T^{-1}|}}$. By Lemma 4 and [8] we get $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|^{-1})\xi} = \mathbb{H} = \overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^{-1}|)\xi}$. That is,$F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$ is an unitary operator and we get

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{H} \arrow[r, "|T|^{-1}"] \arrow[d, "F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}"'] & \mathbb{H} \arrow[d, "F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}"] \\
\mathbb{H} \arrow[r, "|T^{-1}|"'] & \mathbb{H}
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $|T|^{-1}$ and $|T^{-1}|$ are unitary equivalent by $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$, the unitary operator $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$ is induced by the function $\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}(\frac{1}{x})|}$. □

Corollary 1. *Let* T *be an invertible bounded linear operator on the separable Hilbert space* $\mathbb{H}$ *over* $\mathbb{C}$ *and let* $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$ *be the complex algebra generated by* $|T|$ *and* 1*. There is* $\sigma(|T|) = \sigma(|T^*|)$ *and we get that* $|T|$ *and* $|T^*|$ *are unitary equivalent by the unitary operator* $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$*, more over the unitary operator* $F^{\mathbb{H}}_{xx^*}$ *is induced by an almost everywhere non-zero function* $\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}|}$*,where* $\sqrt{|\phi_{|T|}|} \in \mathcal{L}^{\infty}(\sigma(|T|), \mu_{|T|})$*. That is,*$d\,\mu_{|T^*|} = |\phi_{|T|}| d\,\mu_{|T|}$.

3.The chaos between T and T^{*-1} for Lebesgue operator

For the example of singular integral in mathematical analysis, we know that is independent the convergence or the divergence of the weighted integral between x and x^{-1}, however some times that indeed dependent for a special weighted function. For T is an invertible bounded operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, we get $0 \notin \sigma(|T^n|) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$. In the view of the singular integral in mathematical analysis and by Theorem 4, we get that T and T^{*-1} should not be convergence or divergence at the same time for T is an invertible bounded operator. Anyway,they should be convergence or divergence at the same time for some special operators.

Therefor we define the Lebesgue operator and prove that T and T^{*-1} are Li-Yorke chaotic at the same time for T is a Lebesgue operator. Then we give an example that T is a Lebesgue operator,but not is a normal operator.

Let dx be the Lebesgue measure on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$, by Theorem 4 we get that $d\mu_{|T^n|}$ is the complete Borel measure and $\mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$ is a Hilbert space. If $\exists N > 0$, for $\forall n \geq N, n \in \mathbb{N}$,$d\mu_{|T^n|}$ is absolutely continuity with respect to dx, by the Radon-Nikodym Theorem [14]P380 there is $f_n \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}_+)$ such that $d\mu_{|T^n|} = f_n(x)\, dx$.

Definition 4. *Let T be an invertible bounded linear operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, moreover if T satisfies the following assertions:*

(1) *If $\exists N > 0$,for $\forall n \geq N, n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\begin{cases} d\mu_{|T^n|} = f_n(x)\, dx, & f_n \in \mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{R}_+). \\ x^2 f_n(x) = f_n(x^{-1}), & 0 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

(2) *If $\exists N > 0$, for $\forall n \geq N, n \in \mathbb{N}$,there is a $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)$-cyclic vector ξ_n. And for any given $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}$ and for any given $0 \neq g_n(t) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$, there is an unique $0 \neq y \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $y = g_n(|T^n|^{-1})\xi_n$ when $x = g_n(|T^n|)\xi_n$.*

Then we say that T is a Lebesgue operator, let $\mathcal{L}_{Leb}(\mathbb{H})$ denote the set of all Lebesgue operators.

Theorem 6. *Let T be a Lebesgue operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, then T is Li-Yorke chaotic if and only if T^{*-1} is.*

Proof. We prove the conclusion by two parts.

(1) Let $\mathbb{H}$ be $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic, that is,there is a vector ξ such that $\overline{\mathcal{A}(|T|)\xi} = \mathbb{H}$. By Lemma 5 we get that if there is a $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic vector ξ, then there is also a $\mathcal{A}(|T^n|)$-cyclic vector ξ_n.

Let x_0 be a Li-Yorke chaotic point of T, by Theorem 4 and the Polar Decomposition Theorem [15]P15 and by the define of Lebesgue operator,we get that for enough large $n \in \mathbb{N}$,there are $g_n(x) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\sigma(|T^n|))$, $f_n(x) \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ and $y_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ such that $x_0 = g_n(|T^n|)\xi_n$,$y_0 = g_n(|T^n|^{-1})\xi_n$ and $d\mu_{|T^n|} = f_n(x)\,dx$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|T^n x_0\| \\
=& < T^{n*}T^n x_0, x_0 > \\
=& < |T^n|^2 g_n(|T^n|)\xi_n, g_n(|T^n|)\xi_n > \\
=& < g_n(|T^n|)^*|T^n|^2 g_n(|T^n|)\xi_n, \xi_n > \\
=& \int\limits_{\sigma(|T^n|)} x^2 g_n(x)\bar{g}(x)\,d\mu_{|T^n|}(x) \\
=& \int_0^{+\infty} x^2|g_n(x)|^2 f_n(x)\,dx \\
=& \int_0^1 x^2|g_n(x)|^2 f_n(x)\,dx + \int_1^{+\infty} x^2|g_n(x)|^2 f_n(x)\,dx \\
=& \int_0^1 x^2|g_n(x)|^2 f_n(x)\,dx + \int_0^1 x^{-4}|g_n(x^{-1})|^2 f_n(x^{-1})\,dx \\
\triangleq& \int_0^1 |g_n(x)|^2 f_n(x^{-1})\,dx + \int_0^1 x^{-2}|g_n(x^{-1})|^2 f_n(x)\,dx \\
=& \int_1^{+\infty} x^{-2}|g_n(x^{-1})|^2 f_n(x)\,dx + \int_0^1 x^{-2}|g_n(x^{-1})|^2 f_n(x)\,dx \\
=& \int_0^{+\infty} x^{-2}|g_n(x^{-1})|^2 f_n(x)\,dx
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int\limits_{\sigma(|T^n|)} x^{-2} g_n(x^{-1}) \bar{g}_n(x^{-1})\, d\mu_{|T^n|}(x) \\
&=< g_n(|T^n|)^* |T^n|^{-2} g_n(|T^n|^{-1})\xi_n, \xi_n > \\
&=< |T^n|^{-2} g_n(|T^n|^{-1})\xi_n, g_n(|T^n|^{-1})\xi_n > \\
&=< |T^n|^{-2} y_0, y_0 > \\
&=< T^{-n} T^{-n*} y_0, y_0 > \\
&= \|T^{*-n} y_0\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\triangleq$ following the define of $f_n(x)$.

(2) If $\mathbb{H}$ is not $\mathcal{A}(|T|)$-cyclic, by Lemma 5 we get that for $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a decomposition $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$, $\xi_k^i \in \mathbb{H}, i, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|} = \overline{\mathcal{A}(|T^k|)\xi_k^i}$ is a sequence of $\mathcal{A}(|T^k|)$-invariant subspace, and do (1) for $\mathbb{H}_i^{|T^k|}$.

By (1)(2) we get that T is Li-Yorke chaotic if and only if T^{*-1} is Li-Yorke chaotic. □

Corollary 2. *Let T be a Lebesgue operator on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, then T is I-distributionally chaotic (or II-distributionally chaotic or III-distributionally chaotic) if and only if T^{*-1} is I-distributionally chaotic (or II-distributionally chaotic or III-distributionally chaotic).*

Theorem 7. *There is an invertible bounded linear operator T on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, T is Lebesgue operator but not is a normal operator.*

Proof. Let $0 < a < b < +\infty$, then $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$ is a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{R}$, because any separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{R}$ can be expanded to a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{C}$, it is enough to prove the conclusion on $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$. We prove the conclusion by six parts:

(1) Let $0 < a < 1 < b < +\infty$,$M = \{[a, \frac{b-a}{2}], [\frac{b-a}{2}, b]\}$. Construct measure preserving transformation on $[a, b]$.

There is a Borel algebra $\xi(M)$ generated by M, define $\Phi : [a, b] \to [a, b]$, $\Phi([a, \frac{b-a}{2}]) = [\frac{b-a}{2}, b]$, $\Phi([\frac{b-a}{2}, b]) = [a, \frac{b-a}{2}]$. Then Φ is an invertible measure preserving transformation on the Borel algebra $\xi(M)$.

By [27]P63,$U_\Phi \neq 1$ is a unitary operator induced by Φ, where U_Φ is the composition $U_\Phi h = h \circ \Phi, \forall h \in \mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$ on $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$.

(2) Define $M_x h = xh$ on $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$,then M_x is an invertible positive operator.

(3) For $f(x) = \frac{|\ln x|}{x}, x > 0$, define $d\mu = f(x)dx$. then $f(x)$ is continuous and $f(x) > 0, a.e. x \in [a, b]$, hence $d\,\mu$ that is absolutely continuous with respect to $d\,x$ is finite positive complete Borel measure, therefor $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b], d\,\mu)$ a separable Hilbert space over $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$ and $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b], d\,\mu)$ are unitary equivalent.

(4) Let $T = U_\Phi M_x$,we get $T^*T = U_\Phi T T^* U_\Phi^*$ and $U_\Phi \neq 1$. Because of $U_\Phi M_x \neq M_x U_\Phi$ and $U_\Phi M_{x^2} \neq U_\Phi M_{x^2}$, we get that T is not a normal operator and $\sigma(|T|) = [a, b]$.

(5) The operator $T = U_\Phi M_x$ on $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b])$ is corresponding to the operator T' on $\mathcal{L}^2([a, b], d\,\mu)$,$T'$ is invertible bounded linear operator and is not a normal operator and $\sigma(|T'|) = [a, b]$.

(6) From $\int_a^b x^n f(x)d\,x = \int_{a^n}^{b^n} tf(t^{\frac{1}{n}})\frac{1}{nt^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}d\,t$, let $f_n(t) = \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}f(t^{\frac{1}{n}})\frac{1}{t^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}$, we get that $f_n(t)$ is continuous and almost everywhere positive, hence $f_n(t)d\,t$ is a finite positive complete Borel measure.

For any $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ define $I_E = 1$ when $x \in E$ else $I_E = 0$,so I_E is the identity function on E.

(i) $f_n(t^{-1}) = \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}f(t^{-\frac{1}{n}})\frac{1}{t^{-\frac{n-1}{n}}} = \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}\frac{|\ln t^{-\frac{1}{n}}|}{t^{-\frac{1}{n}}}\frac{1}{t^{-\frac{n-1}{n}}}$

$= \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}t|\ln t^{\frac{1}{n}}|$

(i) $t^2 f_n(t) = \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}f(t^{\frac{1}{n}})\frac{t^2}{t^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} = \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}\frac{|\ln t^{\frac{1}{n}}|}{t^{\frac{1}{n}}}\frac{t^2}{t^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}$

$= \frac{1}{n}I_{[a^n,b^n]}t|\ln t^{\frac{1}{n}}|$

By $(i)(ii)$ we get $x^2 f_n(x) = f_n(x^{-1})$.

From $\sigma(|T'^n|) = [a^n, b^n]$ and $\int_{a^n}^{b^n} t^2 f(t^{\frac{1}{n}})\frac{1}{nt^{\frac{n-1}{n}}}d\,t = \int_0^{+\infty} t^2 f_n(t)dt$, let $d\,\mu_{|T'^n|} = f_n(t)d\,t$,then $d\,\mu_{|T'^n|}$ is the finite positive complete Borel measure.

For any given $0 \neq h(x) \in \mathcal{L}^2([a,b])$ we get $0 \neq h(x^{-1}) \in \mathcal{L}^2([a,b])$. $I_{[a,b]}$ is a $\mathcal{A}(|M_x^n|)$-cyclic vector of the multiplication $M_x^n = M_{x^n}$, and $I_{[a^n,b^n]}$ is a $\mathcal{A}(|T'^n|)$-cyclic vector of $|T'^n|$, By Definition 4 we get that T' is Lebesgus operator but not is a normal operator. □

Corollary 3. *There is an invertible bounded linear operator T on the separable Hilbert space $\mathbb{H}$ over $\mathbb{C}$, T is a Lebesgue operator and also is a positive operator.*

By the cyclic representation of C^* algebra and the GNS construction, also by the functional calculus of invertible bounded linear operator, we could study the operator by the integral on $\mathbb{R}$. This way neither change Li-Yorke chaotic nor the computing, but by the singular integral in mathematical analysis on the theoretical level we should find that there is a invertible bounded linear operator T that is Li-Yorke chaotic but T^{-1} is not Li-Yorke chaotic.

4. Cowen-Douglas function on Hardy space

For $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z| < 1\}$, if g is an complex analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$ and there is $\sup\limits_{r<1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^2 \, d\theta < +\infty$, then we denote $g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, hence $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}^2 = \sup\limits_{r<1} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(re^{i\theta})|^2 \, \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}$, especially $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is denoted as a Hardy space. By the completion theory of complex analytic functions, the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a special Hilbert space that is relatively easy not only for theoretical but also for computing, and we should give some properties about adjoint multiplier operators on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$.

Any given complex analytic function g has a Taylor expansion $g(z) = \sum\limits_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n z^n$, so $g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\sum\limits_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n^2 < +\infty$ are naturally isomorphic. For $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$, if $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$ denoted the closed span of all Taylor expansions of functions in $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$, then $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$. From the naturally isomorphic of $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ by the properties of analytic function, we denote $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ also as a Hardy space.

By [14]P6 we get that any Cauchy sequence with the norm $\| \bullet \|_{\mathbb{H}^2}$ on

$\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence on any closed disk in $\mathbb{D}$, in particular,we get that the point evaluations $f \to f(z)$ are continuous linear functional on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, by the Riesz Representation Theorem[14]P13,for any $g(s) \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, there is a unique $f_z(s) \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ such that $g(z) =< g(s), f_z(s) >$, so define that f_z is a reproducing kernel at z.

Let $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ denote the set of all bounded complex analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$, for any given $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, it is easy to get that $\|\phi\|_\infty = \sup\{|\phi(z)|; |z| < 1\}$ is a norm on $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. for any given $g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, the multiplication operator $M_\phi(g) = \phi g$ associated with ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a bounded linear operator, and by the norm on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ we get $\|M_\phi(g)\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty \|g\|_{\mathbb{H}^2}$. If we denote $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ as the closed span of all Taylor expansions of functions in $\mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, then $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ are naturally isomorphic by the properties of complex analytic functions [7]P55P97 and the Dirichlet Problem [7]P103.

There are more properties about Hardy space in [4]P7, [16]P48, [21]P39, [28]P133 and [30]P106.

Definition 5. *For a connected open subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$,$n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{B}_n(\Omega)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operator T on $\mathbb{H}$ that satisfies:*

(a) $\Omega \in \sigma(T) = \{\omega \in \mathbb{C} : T - \omega \textit{not invertible}\}$*;*

(b) $ran(T - \omega) = \mathbb{H}$ *for* $\omega \in \Omega$*;*

(c) $\bigvee \ker_{\omega \in \Omega}(T - \omega) = \mathbb{H}$*;*

(d) $\dim \ker(T - \omega) = n$ *for* $\omega \in \Omega$*.*

If $T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\Omega)$, then say that T is a Cowen-Douglas operator.

Theorem 8 ([2])**.** *For a connected open subset Ω of $\mathbb{C}$,$T \in \mathcal{B}_n(\Omega)$,we get*

(1) *If $\Omega \bigcap \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$,then T is Devaney chaotic.*

(2) *If $\Omega \bigcap \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$,then T is distributionally chaotic.*

(3) *If $\Omega \bigcap \mathcal{T} \neq \emptyset$,then T strong mixing.*

Definition 6 ([28]P141)**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}(z)$ be the set of all polynomials about z,where $z \in \mathbb{T}$. Define a function $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ is an outer function if $cl[h(z)\mathcal{P}(z)] = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$.*

Lemma 6 ([28]P141)**.** *A function $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ is invertible on the Banach algebra $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, if and only if $h(z) \in \mathcal{L}^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ and $h(z)$ is an outer function.*

Theorem 9 ([16]P81)**.** *Let $\mathcal{P}(z)$ be the set of all polynomials about z,where $z \in \mathbb{D}$. then $h(z) \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is an outer function if and only if $\mathcal{P}(z)h(z) = \{p(z)h(z); p \in \mathcal{P}(z)\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$.*

Let ϕ is a non-constant complex analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$, for any given $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, by [7]P29 we get that there exists $\delta_{z_0} > 0$, exists $k_{z_0} \in \mathbb{N}$, when $|z - z_0| < \delta_{z_0}$, there is

$$\phi(z) - \phi(z_0) = (z - z_0)^{k_{z_0}} h_{z_0}(z)$$

where $h_{z_0}(z)$ is complex analytic on a neighbourhood of z_0 and $h_{z_0}(z_0) \neq 0$.

Definition 7. *Let ϕ is a non-constant complex analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$, for any given $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, there exists $\delta_{z_0} > 0$ such that*

$$\phi(z) - \phi(z_0)|_{|z-z_0|<\delta_{z_0}} = p_{n_{z_0}}(z)h_{z_0}(z)|_{|z-z_0|<\delta_{z_0}},$$

$h_{z_0}(z)$ is complex analytic on a neighbourhood of z_0 and $h_{z_0}(z_0) \neq 0$, $p_{n_{z_0}}(z)$ is a n_{z_0}-th polynomial and the n_{z_0}-th coefficient is equivalent 1. By the Analytic Continuation Theorem [7]P28, we get that there is a unique complex analytic function $h_{z_0}(z)$ on $\mathbb{D}$ such that $\phi(z) - \phi(z_0) = p_{n_{z_0}}(z)h_{z_0}(z)$, then define $h_{z_0}(z)$ is a rooter function of ϕ at the point z_0. If for any given $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, the rooter function $h_{z_0}(z)$ has non-zero point but the roots of $p_{n_{z_0}}(z)$ are all in $\mathbb{D}$ and $n_{z_0} \in \mathbb{N}$ is a constant on $\mathbb{D}$ that is equivalent m, then define ϕ is a m-folder complex analytic function on $\mathbb{D}$.

Definition 8. *Let $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D}), n \in \mathbb{N}$, M_ϕ is the multiplication by ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$. if the adjoint multiplier $M_\phi^* \in \mathcal{B}_n(\bar{\phi}(\mathbb{D}))$, then define ϕ is a Cowen-Douglas function.*

By Definition 8 we get that any constant complex analytic function is not a Cowen-Douglas function

Theorem 10. *Let $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be a m-folder complex analytic function, M_ϕ is the multiplication by ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$. If for any given $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, the rooter functions of ϕ at z_0 is a outer function, then ϕ is a Cowen-Douglas function, that is,the adjoint multiplier $M_\phi^* \in \mathcal{B}_m(\bar{\phi}(\mathbb{D}))$.*

Proof. By the definition of m-folder complex analytic function Definition 7 we get that ϕ is not a constant complex analytic function. For any given

$z \in \mathbb{D}$, let $f_z \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ be the reproducing kernel at z. We confirm that M_ϕ^* is valid the conditions of Definition 5 one by one.

(1) For any given $z \in \mathbb{D}$, f_z is an eigenvector of M_ϕ^* with associated eigenvalue $\lambda = \bar{\phi}(z)$.Because for any $g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ we get

$$< g, M_\phi^*(f_z) >_{\mathcal{H}^2} = < \phi g, (f_z) >_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \phi(z) f(z) = < g, \bar{\phi}(z) f_z >_{\mathcal{H}^2}$$

By the Riesz Representation Theorem[14]P13 of bounded linear functional in the form of inner product on Hilbert space, we get $M_\phi^*(f_z) = \bar{\phi}(z) f_z = \lambda f_z$, that is,$f_z$ is an eigenvector of M_ϕ^* with associated eigenvalue$\lambda = \bar{\phi}(z)$.

(2) For any given $\bar{\lambda} \in \phi(\mathbb{D})$, because of $0 \neq \phi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we get that the multiplication operator $M_\phi - \lambda$ is injection by the properties of complex analysis, hence $\ker(M_\phi - \lambda) = 0$. Because of $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}) = \ker(M_\phi - \lambda)^\perp = cl[ran(M_\phi^* - \bar{\lambda})]$, we get that $ran(M_\phi^* - \bar{\lambda})$ is a second category space. By [14]P305 we get that $M_\phi^* - \bar{\lambda}$ is a closed operator, also by [14]P93 or [31]P97 we get $ran(M_\phi^* - \bar{\lambda}) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$.

(3) Suppose that $span\{f_z; z \in \phi(\mathbb{D})\} = span\{\frac{1}{1-\bar{z}s}; z \in \phi(\mathbb{D})\}$ is not dense in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, By the definition of reproducing kernel f_z and because of $0 \neq \phi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we get that there exists $0 \neq g \in \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$,for any given $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$0 = < g, \bar{\phi}(z) f_z >_{\mathcal{H}^2} = \phi(z) g(z) = < \phi(z) g(z), f_z >_{\mathcal{H}^2} .$$

So we get $g = 0$ by the Analytic Continuation Theorem [7]P28,that is a contradiction for $g \neq 0$. Therefor we get that $span\{f_z; z \in \phi(\mathbb{D})\}$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, that is,$\bigvee \ker_{\bar{\lambda}\in\phi(\mathbb{D})}(M_\phi^* - \bar{\lambda}) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$.

(4) By Definition 7 and the conditions of this theorem, for any given $\lambda \in \phi(\mathbb{D})$, there exists $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, exists m-th polynomial $p_m(z)$ and outer function $h(z)$ such that

$$\phi(z) - \lambda = \phi(z) - \phi(z_0) = p_m(z)h(z),$$

We give $\dim\ker(M_{\phi(z)}^* - \bar{\lambda}) = m$ by the following $(i)(ii)(iii)$ assertions.

(i) Let the roots of $p_m(z)$ are $z_0, z_1, \cdots, z_{m-1}$, then there exists decomposition $p_m(z) = (z-z_0)(z-z_1)\cdots(z-z_{m-1})$, and denote $p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}(z)$ is the decomposition of $p_m(z)$ by the permutation of $z_0, z_1, \cdots, z_{m-1}$, the following to get $\dim\ker M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^* = m$.

By the Taylor expansions of functions in $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$, we get there is a naturally isomorphic

$$F_s : \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}) \to \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D} - s), F_s(g(z)) \to g(z+s), s \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It is easy to get that $G = \{F_s; s \in \mathbb{C}\}$ is a abelian group by the composite operation $\circ$, hence for $0 \leq n \leq m-1$,there is

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{H}^2((D)) \arrow[r, "M_{z-z_n}"] \arrow[d, "F_{z_n}"'] & \mathcal{H}^2((D)) \arrow[d, "F_{z_n}"] \\
\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D} - z_n) \arrow[r, "M_z^{'}"'] & \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D} - z_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let T is the backward shift operator on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$, that is, $T(x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (x_2, x_3, \cdots)$. With the naturally isomorphic between $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}-$

$z_n)$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(\partial(\mathbb{D}-z_n))$, $M_z^{'*}$ is equivalent the backward shift operator T on $\mathcal{H}^2(\partial(\mathbb{D}-z_n))$, that is,$M_z^{'*}$ is a surjection and $\dim\ker M_z^{'*}=1$, hence $M_{z-z_n}^*$ is a surjection and $\dim\ker M_{z-z_n}^*=1$, where $0\le n\le m-1$.

By the composition of $F_{z_{m-1}}\circ F_{z_{m-2}}\circ\cdots\circ F_{z_0}$, $M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^{'*}$ is equivalent T^m. that is,$M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^{'*}$ is a surjection and $\dim\ker M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^{'*}=m$, hence $M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^*$ is a surjection and $\dim\ker M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^*=m$.

(ii) Because $\mathcal{H}^\infty$ is a abelian Banach algebra, M_{p_m} is independent to the permutation of 1-th factors of $p_m(z)$, that is,$M_{p_m}^*$ is independent to the 1-th factors multiplication of $p_m(z)=(z-z_0)(z-z_1)\cdots(z-z_{m-1})$.

Because $G=\{F_s;s\in\mathbb{C}\}$ is a abelian group by composition operation $\circ$, for $0\le n\le m-1$, $F_{z_{m-1}}\circ F_{z_{m-2}}\circ\cdots\circ F_{z_0}$ is independent to the permutation of composition. Hence $M_{p_m}^*$ is a surjection and

$$\dim\ker M_{p_m}^*=\dim\ker M_{p_{m,z_0z_1\cdots z_{m-1}}}^*=m.$$

(iii) By Definition 6 and Theorem 9, also by [14]P93 or [31]P97 and by [14]P305 we get that the multiplication operator M_h is surjection that associated with the outer function h. Hence we get

$$\ker M_{h(z)}^*=(ranM_{h(z)})^\perp=(\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D}))^\perp=0.$$

Because there exists decomposition $M_{p_m(z)h(z)}^*=M_{h(z)}^*M_{p_m(z)}^*$ on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, we get

$$\dim\ker(M_\phi^*-\bar{\lambda})=\dim\ker M_{p_m(z)h(z)}^*=\dim\ker M_{p_m(z)}^*=m.$$

By (1)(2)(3)(4) we get the adjoint multiplier operator $M_\phi^* \in \mathcal{B}_m(\bar{\phi}(\mathbb{D}))$.

$\square$

By Theorem 10 and Lemma 6, we get

Corollary 4. *Let $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a m-folder complex analytic function, for any given $z_0 \in \mathbb{D}$, if the rooter function of ϕ at z_0 is invertible in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then ϕ is a Cowen-Douglas function. Especially,for any given $n \in \mathbb{D}$,if a and b are both non-zero complex, then $a + bz^n \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a Cowen-Douglas function.*

The following gives some properties about the adjoint multiplier of Cowen-Douglas functions.

Theorem 11. *If $\phi \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a Cowen-Douglas function, M_ϕ is the multiplication by ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, Then the following assertions are equivalent*

(1) M_ϕ^* *is Devaney chaotic;*

(2) M_ϕ^* *is distributionally chaotic;*

(3) M_ϕ^* *is strong mixing;*

(4) M_ϕ^* *is Li-Yorke chaotic;*

(5) M_ϕ^* *is hypercyclic;*

(6) $\phi(\mathbb{D}) \bigcap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. By Example 1 we get that M_ϕ^* is hypercyclic if and only if ϕ is non-constant and $\phi(\mathbb{D})\bigcap\mathbb{T}\neq\emptyset$, hence (6) is equivalent to (5).

First to get that (6) imply (1)(2)(3)(4).

Because $\phi\in\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ is a Cowen-Douglas function, by Definition 8, $M_\phi^*\in\mathcal{B}_n(\bar{\phi}(\mathbb{D}))$.

By Theorem 8 we get that if $\phi(\mathbb{D})\bigcap\mathbb{T}\neq\emptyset$, then (1)(2)(3) is valid. On Banach spaces Devaney chaotic, distributionally chaotic and strong mixing imply Li-Yorke chaotic, respectively.Hence (4) is valid.Because $\bar{\phi}(\mathbb{D})\bigcap\mathbb{T}\neq\emptyset$ and $\phi(\mathbb{D})\bigcap\mathbb{T}\neq\emptyset$ are mutually equivalent, (6) imply (1)(2)(3)(4).

Then to get that (1)(2)(3)(4) imply (6).By (1)(2)(3) imply (4),respectively, it is enough to get that (4) imply (6).

If M_ϕ^* is Li-Yorke chaotic, then we get that ϕ is non-constant and by [9]Theorem3.5 we get $\sup\limits_{n\to+\infty}\|M_\phi^{*n}\|\to\infty$, hence $\|M_\phi\|=\|M_\phi^*\|>1$, that is,$\sup\limits_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\phi(z)|>1$. Moreover,we also have $\inf\limits_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\phi(z)|<1$, Indeed,if we assume that $\inf\limits_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\phi(z)|\geq 1$ then $\frac{1}{\phi}\in\mathcal{H}^\infty$ and $\|M_{\frac{1}{\phi}}^*\|=\|M_{\frac{1}{\phi}}\|\leq 1$. Hence for any $0\neq x\in\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$ we get $\|M_\phi^{*n}x\|\geq\frac{1}{\|M_\phi^{*-n}\|}\|x\|\geq\frac{1}{\|M_{\frac{1}{\phi}}^*\|^n}\|x\|\geq\|x\|$. It is a contradiction to M_ϕ^* is Li-Yorke chaotic.

Therefor that M_ϕ^* is Li-Yorke chaotic imply $\inf\limits_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\phi(z)|<1<\sup\limits_{z\in\mathbb{D}}|\phi(z)|$, By the properties of a simple connectedness argument of complex analytic functions we get $\phi(\mathbb{D})\bigcap\mathbb{T}\neq\emptyset$. Hence we get (1)(2)(3)(4) both imply (6). $\square$

Corollary 5. *If $\phi\in\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a invertible Cowen-Douglas function in the Banach algebra $\mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, and let M_ϕ be the multiplication by ϕ on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$.*

Then M_ϕ^* is Devaney chaotic or distributionally chaotic or strong mixing or Li-Yorke chaotic if and only if M_ϕ^{*-1} is.

Proof. Because of $T = (T^{-1})^{-1}$, it is enough to prove that M_ϕ^* is Devaney chaotic or distributionally chaotic or strong mixing or Li-Yorke chaotic imply M_ϕ^{*-1} is.

By Definition 8 we get $M_\phi^* \in \mathcal{B}_n(\bar{\phi}(\mathbb{D}))$, with a simple computing we get $M_\phi^{*-1} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\frac{1}{\bar{\phi}}(\mathbb{D}))$.

If M_ϕ^* is Devaney chaotic or distributionally chaotic or strong mixing or Li-Yorke chaotic, by Theoem 11 we get $\phi(\mathbb{D}) \bigcap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$, and by the properties of complex analytic functions we get $\frac{1}{\phi}(\mathbb{D}) \bigcap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Because of $M_\phi^{*-1} \in \mathcal{B}_1(\frac{1}{\phi}(\mathbb{D}))$ and by Theorem 11 we get M_ϕ^{*-1} is Devaney chaotic or distributionally chaotic or strong mixing or Li-Yorke chaotic. $\square$

5. The chaos of scalars perturbation of an operator

We now study some properties about scalars perturbation of an operator inspired by [10] and [1] that research some properties about the compact perturbation of scalar operator.

Definition 9. *Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,$T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$.Define*

(i) Let $S_{LY}(T)$ denote the set such that $\lambda I + T$ is Li-Yorke chaotic for every $\lambda \in S_{LY}(T)$.

(ii) Let $S_{DC}(T)$ denote the set such that $\lambda I+T$ is distributionally chaotic for every $\lambda \in S_{DC}(T)$.

(iii) Let $S_{DV}(T)$ denote the set such that $\lambda I+T$ is Devaney chaotic for every $\lambda \in S_{DV}(T)$.

(iv) Let $S_{H}(T)$ denote the set such that $\lambda I+T$ is hypercyclic for every $\lambda \in S_{H}(T)$.

By Definition 9 we get $S_{LY}(\lambda I+T)=\lambda+S_{LY}(T)$, $S_{DC}(\lambda I+T)=\lambda+S_{DC}(T)$, $S_{DV}(\lambda I+T)=\lambda+S_{DV}(T)$ and $S_{H}(\lambda I+T)=\lambda+S_{H}(T)$.

Lemma 7. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ be a normal operator,then $S_{LY}(T)=\emptyset$.*

Proof. Because T is a normal operator,$\lambda I+T$ is a normal operator,too. by [10] we get $S_{LY}(T)=\emptyset$. $\square$

Lemma 8. *There is a quasinilpotent compact operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ such that $S_{LY}(T)=\mathbb{T}$ is closed and $S_{LY}(T^{*})=\emptyset$,where $\mathbb{T}=\partial\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}, |z|<1\}$.*

Proof. Let $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$ and let T be a weighted backward shift operator with weight sequence $\{\omega_n=\frac{1}{n}\}_{n=1}^{+\infty}$ such that $S_\omega(e_0)=0$, $S_\omega(e_n)=\omega_n e_{n-1}$, where $0<|\omega_n|<M<+\infty$,$\forall n>0$.

By the Spectral Radius formula[14]P197 $r_\sigma(T)=\lim\limits_{n\to+\infty}\|T^n\|^{\frac{1}{n}}$ we get $\sigma(T)=\{0\}$, hence $\sigma(\lambda I+T)=\lambda$.

(1) If $|\lambda|<1$, we can select $\varepsilon>0$ such that $|\lambda|+\varepsilon<q<1$. Then

$\forall 0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}$ we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\lambda I + T)^n x\| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\lambda I + T)^n\| \|x\| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} (|\lambda| + \varepsilon)^n \|x\| = 0.$$

(2) If $|\lambda| > 1$,because of $\sigma((\lambda I + T)^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$,then we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(\lambda I + T)^n x\| \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\|(\lambda I + T)^{-n}\|} \|x\| \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{\|(\lambda I + T)^{-1}\|^n} \|x\| \geq \|x\| \neq 0.$$

(3) By Theorem 3 we get that if $|\lambda| = 1$, then $\lambda I + T$ is mixing. Mixing imply Li-Yorke chaotic.

(4) Because of $\sigma(T) = \sigma(T^*)$, by (1)(2) we get that if $|\lambda| \neq 1$,then $\lambda + T^*$ is not Li-Yorke chaotic.

(5) If $|\lambda| = 1$,from the view of infinite matrix $\lambda I + T^*$ is lower triangular matrix, then with a simple computing,for any $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$, we get $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|\lambda I + S_\omega^* x\| > 0$. Hence $\lambda I + T^*$ is not Li-Yorke chaotic.

By (1)(2)(3)(4)(5) we get that $S_{LY}(T) = \mathbb{T}$ is closed and $S_{LY}(T^*) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Lemma 9. *Let T be the backward shift operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$, $T(x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (x_2, x_3, \cdots)$. Then $S_{LY}(T) = S_{DC}(T) = S_{DV}(T) = S_H(T) = 2\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, $S_{LY}(2T) = S_{DC}(2T) = S_{DV}(2T) = S_H(2T) = 3\mathbb{D}$, Hence $S_{LY}(T)$ and $S_{LY}(2T)$ are open sets.*

Proof. By [14]P209 we get $\sigma(T) = cl\mathbb{D}$ and $\sigma(2T) = cl2\mathbb{D}$, by Definition $\sigma(T)$ we get $\sigma(\lambda I + T) = \lambda + cl\mathbb{D}$. Because of the method to prove the conclusion is similarly for T and $2T$, we only to prove the conclusion for T.

By the naturally isomorphic between $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$. Let $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$, by the definition of T we get $(\lambda I + T)^*$ is the multiplication operator M_f by $f(z) = \bar{\lambda} + z$ on the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$. By the Dirichlet Problem [7]P103 we get that $f(z)$ is associated with the complex analytic function $\phi(z) = \bar{\lambda} + z \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ determined by the boundary condition $\phi(z)|_{\mathbb{T}} = f(z)$.

By Corollary 4 we get that ϕ is a Cowen-Douglas function. Therefor by the natural isomorphic between $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{T})$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, $\lambda I + T$ is naturally equivalent to the operator M_ϕ^* on $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$.

By Theorem 11 we get that M_ϕ^* is hypercyclic or Devaney chaotic or distributionally chaotic or Li-Yorke chaotic if and only if $\phi(\mathbb{D}) \bigcap \mathbb{T} \neq \emptyset$.

Because of $\sigma(\lambda I + T) = \sigma(\bar{\lambda} I + T^*)$, we get $\sigma(\lambda I + T) = \sigma(M_\phi^*) = \sigma(M_\phi) \supseteq \phi(\mathbb{D})$, hence $S_{LY}(T) = S_{DC}(T) = S_{DV}(T) = S_H(T) = 2\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ is an open set. $\square$

Therefor we can get

Corollary 6. *Let T be the backward shift operator on $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$, $T(x_1, x_2, \cdots) = (x_2, x_3, \cdots)$. For $\lambda \neq 0, a \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $\lambda + aT^n$ is a invertible bounded linear operator, then $\lambda + aT^n$ is strong mixing or Devaney chaotic or distributionally chaotic or Li-Yorke chaotic if and only if $(\lambda + aT^n)^{-1}$ is.*

Theorem 12. *There is $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$, $S_{LY}(T)$ is neither open nor closed.*

Proof. Let $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$, because T_1 or T_2 is Li-Yorke chaotic if and only if $T_1 \bigoplus T_2$ is, we get $S_{LY}(T_1 \bigoplus T_2) = S_{LY}(T_1) \bigcup S_{LY}(T_2)$.

By Lemma 8,Lemma 9 and Definition 9 we get the conclusion. ☐

6. Examples that T is chaotic but T^{-1} is not

In the last we give some examples to confirm the theory giving by functional calculus on the begin that T is chaotic but T^{-1} is not.

Example 2. *Let* $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a orthonormal basis of* $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$ *and let* S_ω *be a backward shift operator on* $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$ *with weight sequence* $\omega = \{\omega_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ *such that* $S_\omega(e_0) = 0$, $S_\omega(e_n) = \omega_n e_{n-1}$,*where* $0 < |\omega_n| < M < +\infty$, $\forall n > 0$.

(1) *If* $|\lambda| = 1$,*then* $\lambda I + S_\omega$ *is Li-Yorke chaotic, but* $\lambda I + S_\omega^*$ *and* $(\lambda I + S_\omega^*)^{-1}$ *are not Li-Yorke chaotic.*

(2) *Let* $(\lambda I + S_\omega)^n = U_n|(\lambda I + S_\omega)^n|$ *is the polar decomposition of* $(\lambda I + S_\omega)^n$, $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ *is not a constant sequence.*

Proof. (1) By Theorem 3, we get that for $|\lambda| = 1$,$\lambda I + S_\omega$ is mixing and mixing imply Li-Yorke chaotic, hence $\lambda I + S_\omega$ is Li-Yorke chaotic. From the view of infinite matrix,$\lambda I + S_\omega^*$ and $(\lambda I + S_\omega^*)^{-1}$ are lower triangular matrix, with a simple computing,for any $0 \neq x \in \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$, we get $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|\lambda I + S_\omega^* x\| > 0$,and $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|(\lambda I + S_\omega^*)^{-1} x\| > 0$. Hence $\lambda I + S_\omega^*$ and $(\lambda I + S_\omega^*)^{-1}$ are not Li-Yorke chaotic.

(2) Let $(\lambda I + S_\omega)^n = U_n|(\lambda I + S_\omega)^n|$ is the polar decomposition of $(\lambda I + S_\omega)^n$. If $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a constant sequence, then by Theorem 4 we get that $(\lambda I + S_\omega^*)^{-1}$ is Li-Yorke chaotic. A contradiction. Hence $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is not a constant sequence. ☐

Theorem 13 ([10])**.** *For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a small compact operator $K_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$ and $\|K_\varepsilon\| < \varepsilon$ such that $I + K_\varepsilon$ is distributionally chaotic.*

In [10], $I+K_\varepsilon = \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{+\infty}(I_j+K_j)$ is distributionally chaotic. where $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{+\infty}\mathbb{H}_j$, $n_j = 2m_j$,$\mathbb{H}_j$ is the n_j-dimension subspace of $\mathbb{H}$. On $\mathbb{H}_j$ define:

$$S_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2\varepsilon_j & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{n_j\times n_j}, \; K_j = \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon_j & 2\varepsilon_j & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & -\varepsilon_j \end{bmatrix}_{n_j\times n_j}.$$

$$I_j + K_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\varepsilon_j & 2\varepsilon_j & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1-\varepsilon_j \end{bmatrix}_{n_j\times n_j} = (1-\varepsilon_j)I_j + S_j.$$

We can construct a invertible bounded linear operator $I+K_\varepsilon$ in the same way that is Li-Yorke chaotic, but $(I+K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ is not.

Example 3. *There is a invertible bounded linear operator $I + K_\varepsilon$ on $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$ such that $I + K_\varepsilon$ is Li-Yorke chaotic, but $(I + K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$,$(I + K_\varepsilon)^{*-1}$ and $(I + K_\varepsilon)^*$ are not Li-Yorke chaotic.*

Proof. Let $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ is a orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$ and Let $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{+\infty}\mathbb{H}_j$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\mathbb{H}_j = \overline{span\{e_i\}}, 1 + \frac{j(j-1)}{2} \leq i \leq \frac{j(j+1)}{2}$, $\mathbb{H}_j$ is j-dimension subspace of $\mathbb{H}$. For any given positive sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_1^\infty$ such that $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ and $\sup\limits_{j\to\infty}(1+\varepsilon_j)^j \to +\infty$, on $\mathbb{H}_j$ define:

$$S_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2\varepsilon_j & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}, K_j = \begin{bmatrix} -\varepsilon_j & 2\varepsilon_j & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & -\varepsilon_j \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}$$

.

$$I_j + K_j = \begin{bmatrix} 1-\varepsilon_j & 2\varepsilon_j & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1-\varepsilon_j \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j} = (1-\varepsilon_j)I_j + S_j$$

.

First to prove that $(I + K_\varepsilon)$ is Li-Yorke chaotic.

Let $I+K_\varepsilon = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{+\infty}(I+K_j)$, $f_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}(1_1, \cdots, 1_j)$ and $f_{j,n} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}(1_1, \cdots, 1_n, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{H}_j$. for any $1 \le n \le j$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|(I+K_\varepsilon)^n(f_j)\| \\ & = \|(I_j+K_j)^n(f_j)\| \\ & = \|((1-\varepsilon_j)I_j + S_j)^n(f_j)\| \\ & = \|\sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k(1-\varepsilon_j)^k S_j^{n-k} f_j\| \\ & \ge \|\sum_{k=0}^{n} C_n^k(1-\varepsilon_j)^k(2\varepsilon_j)^{n-k}(1_1, \cdots, 1_n, 0, \cdots, 0)\| \\ & = (1+\varepsilon_j)^n\|f_{j,n}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get

(a) $\overline{\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}} \|(I+K_\varepsilon)^j(f_j)\| \geq \lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|f_j\|(1+\varepsilon_j)^j = +\infty.$

Because of $r_\sigma(I+K_\varepsilon) < 1$,we get

(b) $\lim\limits_{n\to\infty} \|(I+K_\varepsilon)^n(f_j)\| = 0.$

By $(a)(b)$ and by Definition 3 we get that $\lambda I + K_\varepsilon$ satisfies the Li-Yorke Chaos Criterion, by Theorem 1 we get that $\lambda I + K_\varepsilon$ is Li-Yorke chaotic.

Then to prove that $(I+K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ is not Li-Yorke chaotic. For convenience we define $n?^m$ by induction on m for any given $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

For any given $j \in \mathbb{N}$,define:

(1) $j? = 1 + 2 + \cdots + j$,

(2) If defined $j?^n$, then define $j?^{n+1} = 1?^n + 2?^n + \cdots + j?^n$.

Let $A = \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{+\infty} A_j$,where $A_j = (I_j + K_j)^{-1}$.Because of $A_j(I_j+K_j) = A_j(I_j + K_j) = I_j$, we get $A(I+K_\varepsilon) = (I+K_\varepsilon)A = \bigoplus\limits_{j=1}^{+\infty} I_j = I$. By the Banach Inverse Mapping Theorem [14]P91 we get that $A = (I+K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ is a bounded linear operator, that is,$A = (I+K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$.Hence we get

$$A_j = \frac{1}{1-\varepsilon_j}\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2\varepsilon_j & & (-2)^{j-1}\varepsilon_j^{j-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & -2\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}$$

.

$$A_j^2 = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_j)^2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\cdot(-2)\varepsilon_j & & j\cdot(-2)^{j-1}\varepsilon_j^{j-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2\cdot(-2)\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}$$

.

$$A_j^3 = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_j)^3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & (1+2)(-2)\varepsilon_j & & j?\cdot(-2)^{j-1}\varepsilon_j^{j-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2?(-2)\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}$$

.

For $m \geq 3, m \in \mathbb{N}$,If defined

$$A_j^m = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_j)^m} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2?^{m-2}(-2)\varepsilon_j & & j?^{m-2}(-2)^{j-1}\varepsilon_j^{j-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2?^{m-2}(-2)\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}$$

.

Then define

$$A_j^{(m+1)} = AA^m = \frac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_j)^{(m+1)}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2?^{m-1}(-2)\varepsilon_j & & j?^{m-1}(-2)^{j-1}\varepsilon_j^{j-1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \ddots & 2?^{m-1}(-2)\varepsilon_j \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}_{j\times j}$$

.

For any given $0 \neq x_0 = (x_1, x_2, \cdots,) \in \mathbb{H}$, Let

$$y_j = (x_{(1+\frac{j(j-1)}{2})}, \cdots, x_{\frac{j(j+1)}{2}});$$
$$y_j' = (x_{(2+\frac{j(j-1)}{2})}, \cdots, x_{(\frac{j(j+1)}{2}-1)});$$
$$z_{j,m} = x_{(1+\frac{j(j-1)}{2})} + \sum_{k=2}^{j} k?^{(m-2)}(-2)^{k-1}\varepsilon_j^k.$$

.

Following a brilliant idea of Zermelo,we shall give the conclusion by induction.

(1) If $y_1 \neq 0$,then we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \|A_j^n y_j\| \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|A_1^n y_1\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{|x_1|}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} = +\infty.$$

(2) If $y_1 = 0$,but $y_2 = (x_2, x_3) \neq 0$.

(i) If $x_3 \neq 0$, by (1) we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{|x_3|}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} \to +\infty.$$

(ii) If $x_3 = 0$ and $\varepsilon_2 > \frac{1}{2?^{(n-2)}}$,because of $y_2 = (x_2, x_3) \neq 0$,we get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \|A_j^n y_j\| \geq \lim_{n\to\infty} \|A_2^n y_2\|$$

$$= \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} \sqrt{(x_2^2 + (2?^{n-2}(-2)\varepsilon_2 x_3)^2)} \geq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{|x_2|}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} = +\infty.$$

(3) Assume for $k \leq m-1$, there is $\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \to +\infty$ for A^k and $y_k \neq 0$. Then for $k = m$ and $y_m \neq 0$ we get

(i) If $x_{m?} \neq 0$, by (1) we get

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \geq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{|x_{m?}|}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} = +\infty.$$

(ii) If $x_{m?} = 0$ and $\varepsilon_m > \frac{1}{m?^{(n-2)}}$,because of $y_m \neq 0$,we get

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| = \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \|A_j^n y_j\| \geq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A_m^n y_m\|$$

$$= \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} \sqrt{z_{m,n}^2 + \|A_{m-1}^n y'_m\|^2}.$$

If $y'_m \neq 0$,by the induction hypothesis we get

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \geq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A_{m-1}^n y'_m\| = +\infty;$$

If $y'_m = 0$,because of $y_m \neq 0$ we get $x_{(1+\frac{m(m-1)}{2})} \neq 0$. by (1) we get

$$\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \geq \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{\|z_{m,n}\|}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} = \varliminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{|x_{(1+\frac{m(m-1)}{2})}|}{(1-\varepsilon_1)^n} = +\infty.$$

Therefor for $k = m$ and $y_m \neq 0$, we get $\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \to +\infty$, by the induction we get that for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_m \neq 0$,there is $\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| \to +\infty$.

From (1)(2)(3) and $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{+\infty} \mathbb{H}_j$, we get that for any given $0 \neq x_0 = (x_1, x_2, \cdots,) \in \mathbb{H}$ we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y_m \neq 0$. Hence for any given $0 \neq x_0 = (x_1, x_2, \cdots,) \in \mathbb{H}$ we get $\varliminf_{n\to\infty} \|A^n x_0\| = +\infty$. Therefor $(I + K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ is not Li-Yorke chaotic. From the view of infinite matrix, $(I + K_\varepsilon)^*$ and

$(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*-1}$ are lower triangular matrix, for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}$,with a simple computing we get $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*n}x\| > 0$, $\underline{\lim}_{n\to\infty} \|(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*-n}x\| > 0$. Hence $(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*}$ and $(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*-1}$ are not Li-Yorke chaotic. $\square$

Corollary 7. *There is a invertible bounded linear operator $I+K_\varepsilon$ on $\mathbb{H} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{N})$ such that $I+K_\varepsilon$ is distributionally chaotic, but $(I+K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$,$(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*-1}$ and $(I+K_\varepsilon)^{*}$ are not distributionally chaotic.*

Proof. By the construction of Theorem 13, it is only to give the conclusion by induction on $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ as Example 3. $\square$

Theorem 14. *There is $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{H})$, $S_{LY}(T) = S_{DC}(T) = \omega$ is an open arc of $\mathbb{T} = \{|\lambda| = 1; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$, and for $\forall \lambda \in \omega$,we get that $(\lambda+T)^{*}$,$(\lambda+T)^{*-1}$ and $(\lambda+T)^{-1}$ are not Li-Yorke chaotic.*

Proof. As Example 3,give the same $\mathbb{H} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{+\infty} \mathbb{H}_j$,$S_j$ and K_j, give positive sequence $\{\varepsilon_j\}_1^{\infty}$ such that $\varepsilon_j \to 0$ and $\sup_{j\to\infty} |i+\varepsilon_j|^j \to +\infty$,where $i \in \mathbb{C}$.

Let $\lambda I + K_\varepsilon = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{+\infty} (\lambda I + K_j)$, so $\sigma(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon) = \{\lambda - \varepsilon_j; j \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

(i) If $|\lambda| < 1$,because of $\varepsilon_j \to 0$, we get that $\exists N > 0$ when $n > N$, $|\lambda - \varepsilon_j| < 1$. With the introduction of this paper we get that Li-Yorke chaos is valid only on infinite Hilbert space. Loss no generally,for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$,let $|\lambda - \varepsilon_j| < 1$, so $r_{\sigma(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)} < 1$. Hence for any $0 \neq x \in \mathbb{H}$ there is $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|(I+K_\varepsilon)^n(x)\| = 0$.

(ii) If $|\lambda| > 1$ or $\lambda \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}]$, because of $\varepsilon_j > 0$, for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$|\lambda-\varepsilon_j|>1$, $\frac{1}{|\lambda-\varepsilon_j|}<1$, and $\sigma(\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)^{-1}=\{\frac{1}{\lambda-\varepsilon_j}; j\in\mathbb{N}\}$. By Example 3, for any given $x\neq 0$ there is $y_m\neq 0, m\in\mathbb{N}$,hence we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{n\to\infty}\|(\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)^n x_0\|\\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty}\|(\lambda I_m+K_m)^n y_m\|\\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty}\tfrac{1}{\|(\lambda I_m+K_m)^{-n}\|}\|y_m\|\\
&\geq \lim_{n\to\infty}\tfrac{1}{\|(\lambda I_m+K_m)^{-1}\|^n}\|y_m\|\\
&\geq \|y_m\|>0.
\end{aligned}$$

(iii) For $\forall\lambda\in(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2})$, because of $\varepsilon_j\to 0$, there exists $N>0$, when $j>N$, we get $|\lambda-\varepsilon_j|<1$. Let $\mathbb{H}^{'}=\bigoplus\limits_{j>N}\mathbb{H}_j$, $(\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)^{'}=(\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)|_{\bigoplus\limits_{j>N}\mathbb{H}_j}$, then for $f_j=\frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}(1_1,\cdots,1_j)$ and $f_{j,n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{j}}(1_1,\cdots,1_n,0,\cdots,0)\in\mathbb{H}^{'}$, we get that when $1\leq n\leq j$,there is

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)^n(f_j)\|\\
&=\|(\lambda I_j+K_j)^n(f_j)\|\\
&=\|((\lambda-\varepsilon_j)I_j+S_j)^n(f_j)\|\\
&\geq \|\sum_{k=0}^{n}C_n^k(\lambda-\varepsilon_j)^k(2\varepsilon_j)^{n-k}(1_1,\cdots,1_n,0,\cdots,0)\|\\
&=|\lambda+\varepsilon_j|^n\|f_{j,n}\|.
\end{aligned}$$

By $(i)(ii)$,if $|\lambda|\neq 1$ or $\lambda\in[\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{3\pi}{2}]$, $\lambda I+K_\varepsilon$ is not Li-Yorke chaotic.

By (iii) and by the property of the triangle,if $\lambda\in(-\frac{\pi}{2},\frac{\pi}{2})$ and $j>N$, we get $|\lambda+\varepsilon_j|>|i+\varepsilon_j|$ and $r_\sigma((\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)^{'})<1$. Hence we get

$\lim\limits_{n\to\infty}\|(\lambda I+K_\varepsilon)^{'n}(f_j)\|=0$, and

$\overline{\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}}\|(I+K_\varepsilon)^{'j}(f_j)\|\geq\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}\|f_j\||\lambda+\varepsilon_j|^j\geq\lim\limits_{j\to\infty}|i+\varepsilon_j|^j=+\infty.$

By Definition 3 we get that $\lambda I + K_\varepsilon$ satisfies the Li-Yorke Chaos Criterion, by Theorem 1 we get that $\lambda I + K_\varepsilon$ is Li-Yorke chaotic.

Using the same proof of Example 3 we get that $(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)^*$,$(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)^{*-1}$ and $(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ are not Li-Yorke chaotic.

Using Corollary 7 and Theorem 13 we get that $\lambda I + K_\varepsilon$ is distributionally chaotic,but $(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)^*$,$(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)^{*-1}$ and $(\lambda I + K_\varepsilon)^{-1}$ are not Li-Yorke chaotic.

□

Conjecture 1. *For any given $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists m-folder complex analytic function $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that ϕ is not a Cowen-Douglas function.*

Question 1. *Gives the equivalent characterization of a m-folder complex analytic function; Gives the equivalent characterization of a rooter function; Gives the equivalent characterization of a Cowen-Douglas function. Gives the relations between them.*

Question 2. *Let M_ϕ is the multiplication operator of the Cowen-Douglas function $\phi(z) \in \mathcal{H}^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ on the Hardy space $\mathcal{H}^2(\mathbb{D})$, then is M_ϕ^* a Lebesgue operator? If not and if they have relations ,gives the relations between them.*

References

[1] Bermdez, Bonilla, Martnez-Gimnez and Peiris. Li-Yorke and distributionally chaotic operators. J.Math.Anal.Appl.,(373)2011:1-83-93.

[2] B.Hou, P.Cui and Y.Cao. Chaos for Cowen-Douglas operators. Pro.Amer.Math.Soc,138(2010),926-936.

[3] C.Kitai. Invariant closed sets for linear operators. Ph.D.thesis, University of Toronto, Toronto, 1982.

[4] F.Bayart and E.Matheron. Dynamics of Linear Operators, Cambridge University Press, 2009.

[5] G.D.Birkhoff. Surface transformations and their dynamical applications. Acta Mathmatica, 1922:43-1-119.

[6] G.Godefroy. Renorming of Banach spaces. In Handbook of the Geometry of Banach Spaces. volume 1,pp.781-835, North Holland, 2003.

[7] Henri Cartan(Translated by Yu Jiarong). Theorie elementaire des fonctions analytuques d'une ou plusieurs variables complexes. Higer Education Press, Peking, 2008.

[8] Hua Loo-kang. On the automorphisms of a sfield. Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.U.S.A.,1949:35-386-389.

[9] Hou B, Liao G, Cao Y. . Dynamics of shift operators. Houston Journal of Mathematics, 2012: 38(4)-1225-1239

[10] Hou Bingzhe,Tian Geng and Shi Luoyi. Some Dynamical Properties For Linear Operators. Illinois Journal of Mathematics,Fall 2009.

[11] Iwanik,A.. Independent sets of transitive points. Dynamical Systems and Ergodic Theory. vol.23,Banach Center publications,1989,pp277-282.

[12] J.H.Shapiro. Notes on the dynamics of linear operators. Available at the author'web page 2001.

[13] John Milnor. Dynamics in one complex variable. Third Edition. Princeton University Press, 2006.

[14] John B.Conway. A Course in Functional Analysis. Second Edition,Springer-Verlag New York, 1990.

[15] John B.Conway. A Course in Operator Theory. American Mathmatical Society, 2000.

[16] John B.Garnett. Bounded Analytic Functions. Revised First Edition. Springer-Verlag, 2007.

[17] J.R.Browm. Ergodic Theory and Topological Dynamics. Academic Press,New York,1976.

[18] J.W.Robbin. Topological Conjungacy and Structural Stability for discrete Dynamical Systems. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, Volume78, 1972.

[19] K.-G.Grosse-Erdmann and A.Peris Manguillot. Linear Chaos. Springer, London, 2011.

[20] K.-G.Grosse-Erdmann. Universal families and hypercyclic vectors. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.,36(3):345-381,1999.

[21] Kenneth Hoffman. Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1962.

[22] Li T.Y. and Yorke J.A.. Period three implies chaos. Amer.Math.Monthly 82(1975), 985C992.

[23] M.Shub. Global Stability of Dynamical Systems. Springer-Verlag New York, 1987.

[24] N.H.Kuiper and J.W.Robbin. Topological Classification of Linear Endomorphisms. Inventiones math.19,83-106, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[25] Nilson C.Bernardes Jr., Antonio Bonilla, Vladimr Mller and A.peiris. Li-Yorke chaos in linear dynamics. Academy of Sciences Czech Republic, Preprint No.22-2012.

[26] Paul R.Halmos. Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag New York, 1974.

[27] Peter Walters. An Introduction to Ergodic Theory. Springer-Verlag New Yorke, 1982.

[28] Ronald G.Douglas. Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory. Second Edition. Springer-Verlag New York, 1998.

[29] S.Rolewicz. On orbits of elements. Studia Math., 1969:32-17-22.

[30] William Arveson. A Short Course on Spectral Theory. Springer Science+Businee Media, LLC, 2002.

[31] Zhang Gongqing,Lin Yuanqu. Lecture notes of functional analysis(Volume 1). Peking University Press, Peking, 2006.